

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE CADDO PARISH COMMISSION'S
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
HELD ON THE 29TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022

The Caddo Parish Economic Development Committee met in legal session on the above date, at 2:31 a.m., in the Government Plaza 1st Floor Conference Room, with Mr. Chavez, Chairman, presiding, and the following members in attendance: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3).

NEW BUSINESS

The Clerk advised the Committee on behalf of the Commission at Commissioner Burrell's request that a letter of support was submitted for the merger of Canadian Pacific and Kansas City Southern.

- *Review and Make Recommendations Related to the 2023 Economic Development Budget*

Mr. Chavez asked that Mrs. Barnett review the score sheet for the economic development NGOs. Mrs. Barnett explained that the score sheet includes a recommended funding amount based on an organization's request. She further explained that if an entity scored 95-100%, then the recommended funding amount would be 95-100% of that organization's requested amount, and for a score between 90-94% then the recommended funding would be 90%, and so forth. Mrs. Barnett said that there were no economic development NGOs that scored below 60%, so all organizations are eligible for funding. Mrs. Barnett said that the Committee must decide based on each organization's score and the amount requested.

The Clerk wanted to know what the budget amount is. Mrs. Barnett responded \$500,000 and the total requested amount is about \$495,000. Mrs. Barnett said that Biomed withdrew their request and are not included on the score sheet provided.

Mr. Atkins wanted to know about the organizations listed on the bottom of the score sheet. Mrs. Barnett responded that the organizations listed on the bottom are the organizations pulled from the NGO score sheet and could be ignored.

Mr. Burrell wanted to know the goal budget. Mrs. Barnett reiterated \$500,000.

Mr. Jackson wanted to know the difference between the score sheets provided. Mrs. Thomas explained that one sheet included BioMed in the total and since their request was withdrawn, she provided a separate sheet without BioMed. Mrs. Thomas said that the purpose for the score sheets is to give a suggested appropriation for each entity. Mr. Jackson wanted to know if there is a list of organizations that applied last year but did not apply for the current year. Mrs. Barnett responded that she did not have that. Mr. Jackson wanted to know if Mrs. Bryant could retrieve the list that is usually included in the budget book and for the previous six years. Mrs. Bryant confirmed that she will. Mr. Jackson wanted to know if the organizations on the bottom of the sheet are going to be funded. Mrs. Bryant responded that organizations without a recommended appropriation will not be included in the 2023 budget.

Mr. Burrell said that the total suggested appropriation amount of \$429,750 is under the \$500,000 budget and suggested that the Committee adopt the recommendations to have a remaining balance of almost \$60,000. Mr. Chavez referred Mr. Burrell's suggestion to Finance. Mrs. Barnett responded that it would be the Committee's choice. Mrs. Bryant said that the NGO Committee recommended the same amount as the prior year's appropriation if the suggested amount was higher than the prior year's amount. Mrs. Thomas pointed out that the Prize Foundation and The Strand Theater of Shreveport Corporation are two outliers that have higher suggested amounts than the prior year appropriation. Mrs. Thomas also pointed out that Rho Omega and Friends, Inc. did not apply for funding

last year.

Mr. Chavez said that the Commission adopted new procedures for the grant program and wanted to know if the hard deadline caused some entities to not make the deadline. Mrs. Barnett responded that the Red River Revel organization applied but did not make the list, because the Red River Revel organization did not attend the mandatory training. Mrs. Barnett said that all the other applicants for economic development met all the requirements. Mr. Chavez suggested to review each organization individually.

Southern Hills Business Association

Mr. Chavez said that the Southern Hills Business Association requested \$25,000 and they were appropriated \$24,000.

It was **moved by Mr. Johnson**, seconded by Mr. Atkins, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$23,000 for Southern Hills Business Association*

It was **moved by Mr. Jackson**, seconded by Mr. Atkins, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$25,000 for Southern Hills Business Association.*

Mr. Johnson pointed out that recommendations should be based on the suggested amount if the suggested amount is less than the requested amount or the prior year's appropriation. Mr. Jackson said that the Committee will still meet its budget goal. Mr. Johnson said to get below the budget amount and that using this method would be consistent with making recommendations based on the lowest amount based on the requested and prior year's appropriation.

Substitute motion by Mr. Jackson, seconded by Mr. Chavez, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$24,000 for Southern Hills Business Association. Motion carried by acclamation*, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, and Jackson (4). NAYS: Commissioner Johnson (1). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Highland Area Partnership

It was **moved by Mr. Jackson**, seconded by Mr. Atkins, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$10,000 for the Highland Area Partnership.*

Mr. Jackson said that the difference between the suggested and the prior year's appropriation is not big.

At this time, Mr. Jackson's motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Independence Bowl Foundation

Mr. Chavez said that Independence Bowl Foundation requested \$65,000 and last year they were appropriated \$65,000.

It was **moved by Mr. Johnson**, seconded by Mr. Atkins, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$65,000 for the Independence Bowl Foundation. Motion carried by acclamation*, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, and Johnson (4). NAYS: Commissioner Jackson (1). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

North Caddo Fireworks Festival

It was **moved by Mr. Jackson**, to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$9,000 for North Caddo Fireworks Festival.

Motion died due to a lack of a second.

Substitute motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Mr. Atkins, to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$8,000 for North Caddo Fireworks Festival. Motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Goodwill Industries of North Louisiana, Inc.

It was **moved by Mr. Jackson**, seconded by Mr. Burrell, to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$9,000 for Goodwill Industries of North Louisiana, Inc. Motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

The Strand Theater of Shreveport Corporation

Mr. Chavez said that National Sorority of Phi Delta Kappa requested \$50,000 and they were appropriated \$20,000 in 2022.

It was **moved by Mr. Johnson**, seconded by Mr. Jackson, to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$20,000 for The Strand Theater of Shreveport Corporation. Motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Competition Planning Partners, LLC

Mr. Chavez said that Competition Planning Partners, LLC requested \$30,000 and they were appropriated \$20,000 last year.

Mr. Jackson wanted to know if the Competition Planning Partners, LLC and Fit For Life Ministries, Inc are using any of the appropriated funds towards the cost for the Convention Center. Attorney Frazier responded Fit For Life Ministries, Inc uses sanction fees from the athletes, but is not sure. Attorney Frazier said that Legal has the information, but it is not readily available.

It was **moved by Mr. Chavez**, seconded by Mr. Jackson, to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$25,000 for Competition Planning Partners, LLC.

Mr. Jackson requested that a stipulation be put on Competition Planning Partners, LLC to receive the appropriation but the funds could not be used for building rental fees. Mr. Jackson voiced concerns about using Parish funds to use City venues and resources. Mrs. Barnett said that on their application Competition Planning Partners, LLC requested \$30,000 with \$15,000 expenses for the Convention Center and \$15,000 expenses for rental equipment. Mrs. Barnett explained that Competition Planning Partners, LLC has other expenses, but the Parish appropriation would be used for the two expenses. Mr. Jackson commented that the Parish could pay the City directly to allow use of their buildings, instead of appropriating funds to an organization. Mr. Chavez agreed that the Parish should include this stipulation in policies moving forward to not use Parish funds toward expenses of other local governmental entities. Mr. Burrell commented that it would not be fair to the applicant that has expenses in this particular category. Mr. Jackson voiced concerns that Parish funds are being used to offset the City

of Shreveport or the School Board expenses. Mrs. Barnett stated that the total cost of the event is \$84,000. Mr. Jackson said that Competition Planning Partners, LLC would have to raise another \$50,000. Mr. Burrell said that Competition Planning Partners, LLC's budget includes an expense toward the City of Shreveport anyway. Mr. Jackson said Parish could pay the City of Shreveport directly and Parish Administration could negotiate a better rate under an intergovernmental agreement. Mrs. Bryant said that if the Parish contracts with the City of Shreveport, then the liability would be on the Parish. Mr. Johnson said that the parties involved would have to include the other as a rider on their insurance so everybody would be covered. Mrs. Bryant advised that the NGO could transfer expenses around which would be more advantageous for both the NGO and the Parish, instead of the Parish getting into the middle of negotiating contracts on behalf of a private organization. Mr. Jackson reiterated his concern about using Parish funds to pay other local governmental organizations. Mrs. Bryant explained when organizations run facilities, they must bring in enough revenue to cover those utilities and other expenses like the Parish's Walter B. Jacobs Park. Mr. Jackson wanted to know if another organization wanted to use Parish facilities would pay the Parish fees. Mrs. Bryant said that the fees would need to be paid to use Parish facilities.

At this time, Mr. Chavez's motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, and Jackson (4). NAYS: Commissioner Johnson (1). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Fit For Life Ministries, Inc.

Mr. Chavez said that Fit For Life Ministries, Inc requested \$70,000 and they were appropriated \$65,000 last year. Mr. Chavez said that the suggested amount is \$52,500.

It was **moved by Mr. Burrell**, seconded by Mr. Jackson, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$50,000 for Fit For Life Ministries, Inc.*

It was **moved by Mr. Johnson**, seconded by Mr. Atkins, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$60,000 for Fit For Life Ministries, Inc.* Motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Chavez, and Johnson (3). NAYS: Commissioners Jackson and Burrell (2). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Prize Foundation

It was **moved by Mr. Jackson**, seconded by Mr. Chavez, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$30,000 for Prize Foundation.* Motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

CoHabitat Foundation

It was **moved by Mr. Jackson**, seconded by Mr. Atkins, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$25,000 for CoHabitat Foundation.* Motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Rho Omega and Friends, Inc.

It was **moved by Mr. Johnson**, seconded by Mr. Chavez, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$35,000 Rho Omega and Friends, Inc.*

Mr. Atkins wanted to know what Rho Omega and Friends, Inc. does. Mr. Johnson responded that they organize Let The Good Times Roll Juneteenth festival.

Substitute motion by Mr. Jackson, seconded by Mr. Johnson, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$40,000 Rho Omega and Friends, Inc. Motion carried by acclamation*, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Shreveport-Bossier African American Chamber of Commerce

Mr. Chavez said that Shreveport-Bossier African American Chamber of Commerce requested \$35,000 and they were appropriated \$25,000 last year.

It was **moved by Mr. Johnson**, seconded by Mr. Jackson, *to recommend to the full body an appropriation of \$25,000 for Shreveport-Bossier African American Chamber of Commerce*.

Mr. Johnson wanted to know what the Shreveport-Bossier African American Chamber of Commerce would use the funds for. Mrs. Bryant responded that the funds are for their expo and the food week event. Mr. Johnson wanted to know what the organization is using the ARP (American Rescue Plan) funds for. Mrs. Bryant responded that the ARP funds are for the small and emerging business program, which is still being vetted. Mr. Johnson explained the difference between cash mob and food week and that the Parish needs to define where the ARP and appropriation funds would be spent. Mrs. Barnett said that Finance has not gotten that far in their vetting process. Mrs. Bryant said that in case the Shreveport-Bossier African American Chamber of Commerce ARPA (American Rescue Plan Act) funding does not work out, it would be unfortunate for the Committee to cut their appropriation funds. Mrs. Barnett confirmed that the Shreveport-Bossier African American Chamber of Commerce request is for the minority expo and the Black restaurant week.

At this time, Mr. Johnson's motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

Mr. Johnson requested an update on the total appropriation balance. Mrs. Barnett responded with \$341,000. Mr. Jackson commented that the Committee is way under the Committee's \$500,000 budget. Mr. Atkins said that something will come up and that something always does.

It was **moved by Mr. Chavez**, seconded by Mr. Jackson, *to forward to the full body the recommendations made by the Economic Development Committee*.

Mr. Johnson commented that he noticed Southern University Foundation requested \$700,000 for the law school, but there was an issue for the Southern University local chapter application. Mr. Johnson said that the Southern University local chapter's application was merged into the Southern University request amount. Mrs. Barnett said that the local chapter did not receive an application because they were not awarded in 2022. Mrs. Barnett explained that grant applications begin with 2022 awardees and those awardees receive applications, unless otherwise instructed by the Committee. Mrs. Barnett further explained that they did not receive an application by design, not by mistake. Mrs. Bryant said that the local chapter's request has been in both economic development and River Boat Funds. Mrs. Bryant said that the base of their request gives scholarships for men's cooking classes. Mr. Johnson thought the appropriation request was seed money. Attorney Frazier commented that last year the local chapter received funding, they did not host a Cooking Classic, so the funds were given in scholarships. Mrs. Barnett responded that she thinks they were moved to Riverboat because of the use of funds. Mr. Johnson said that there could be other organizations that did not apply due to covid and that the Parish should review how applications have been sent out for the past few years. Mrs. Bryant said that if an organization had funding for the last two or three years, then the Parish should consider sending that organization an

application. Mr. Burrell commented that the Parish drops organizations off the list. Mrs. Bryant confirmed that has been the practice for years. Mrs. Barnett said that typically organizations will call around and figure out how to get an application. Mrs. Barnett said that Finance typically does not have an issue with people applying.

Mr. Jackson suggested doing an annual NOFA. Mrs. Bryant responded that the Administration has been reluctant to do that in the past because the Commission has a finite amount of money and limited staff. Mrs. Bryant explained that open applications are overwhelming. Mrs. Bryant requested the Committee to consider giving Administration instruction that suggests Administration provide applications to organizations that were funded in the last two years. Mr. Jackson said that the Parish has an open application. Mrs. Bryant explained that the process is not an open application, because an open application allows anybody at any time to apply and the link is not on the website. She explained that the Parish would send the application by request. Mr. Jackson wanted to know if an organization receives the application before or after the meeting. Mrs. Barnett said that there are five mandatory training meetings, and an organization must attend those to receive funding and an organization could apply before or after the training. Mrs. Bryant agreed that there is a window of opportunity for an organization to attend the training either before or after an application is submitted.

At this time, Mr. Chavez's motion carried by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

- *NGO Applications*

Mr. Jackson wanted to know how Dress for Success is considered an NGO application instead of an economic development application. Attorney Frazier responded that Dress for Success is limited to providing outfits for a certain income. Mr. Jackson wanted to know why Durham Transportation is also an NGO application instead of a workforce development or economic development application. Mrs. Rico responded that the organization must select what type of funding they are requesting on the application. Mrs. Rico explained that there are three types of funding, economic development, education and social welfare, and that Durham Transportation selected education. Mr. Jackson wanted to know if Finance goes with the funding type the organization selects on their application. Mrs. Rico responded that Finance typically goes with what the organization selects. Mrs. Rico said that Finance summarizes application information and presents it to the Committee. Mrs. Rico explained that if the Committee determines that a request type is incorrect, then the Committee could decide the type of funding.

Mr. Burrell wanted to know how funding is distributed across the twelve districts and that he created a matrix to see where appropriations are being spent. Mrs. Rico responded that Finance could update their spreadsheets to do that, but Finance goes based on the information provided in the application. Mrs. Barnett responded that there is a question on the application that asks what district the organization services. Mrs. Rico said that the exact question on the application says, "Does your organization provide services in more than one Commission district? Yes, or no?" Mr. Burrell said that is clear enough. Mr. Johnson commented that some organizations do not know what district they serve and usually write in the name of the Commissioner they know. Mrs. Bryant said that most organizations that work with the Parish in the past know how to figure out which Commission district they serve or are located in. Mrs. Thomas pointed out that this question was clarified during the training meeting. Mr. Burrell said that the question is skewed, because an organization may be in one district but serves another. Mr. Johnson said that the Charter or By-laws says that the Commission is not to do funding per district and the application question could go away. Mrs. Bryant said that the application can be adjusted but the question was added at the request of the Commission. Mr. Johnson said that if the Commission tells everyone to jump off the bridge that nobody would jump off the bridge. Mrs. Barnett said that she would not jump from a bridge, but she tried to fulfill their requests. Mrs. Bryant said that the application could be reviewed for 2024 to see if the Committee wants to make any adjustments.

- *External Grant Writer Discussion*

Mr. Chavez said that there are many federal and state funds that a grant writer could help the Parish direct organizations to larger pots of funding. Mr. Chavez suggested setting aside \$5,000 to hire a grant writer, instead of appropriating hundreds of thousands of dollars for NGOs. Mr. Burrell wanted to know if the grant writer is teaching organizations how to write grants. Mr. Chavez responded that the Biden Administration has funded programs that local organizations could apply for, and the Parish could pay for a couple of hours with an external grant writer to apply for those federal funds. Mr. Johnson commented that the local organizations could still come to the Parish requesting funds after working with the grant writer. Mr. Chavez responded that the Parish could put stipulations on organizations that receive an award after working with the grant writer. Mr. Chavez explained that the Parish could offer two hours with a grant writer and if the organization achieves an award of a certain amount, then that would be the Parish's contribution to the NGO. Mr. Burrell wanted to know what would occur if the NGO does not receive any awards. Mr. Chavez responded that the Parish would continue its process and reiterated that the Parish could implement stipulations to not fund NGOs that work with the grant writer. Mr. Johnson commented that the NGO could time the federal award process and the Parish appropriation process to receive both funding. Mr. Burrell mentioned that an NGO asked him about buying them a grant writer. Mr. Chavez said that the Parish could fund a pre-paid grant writer to send the organizations to. Mr. Johnson pointed out that the NGO would have to locate the grant and the grant writer just shows the NGO how to write a grant. Mr. Burrell commented that he agrees that there are people who do not know how to write a grant and need assistance writing grants and finding someone to help them. Mr. Atkins commented that grant writing is complicated which takes a lot of time and effort. Mr. Chavez stated that the Parish would be setting organizations up for success. Mr. Jackson wanted to know what Mr. Chavez was suggesting. Mr. Jackson voiced concerns about results and that the program should be performance based. Mr. Chavez said that the external grant writer would not be local. Mr. Jackson said that he is not concerned about where the grant writer is located, but the results of the grant writer program. Mr. Jackson said that the grant writer should be billed for services and those services be verified. Mrs. Thomas commented that while reviewing American Rescue Plan applications, several organizations did not want to provide four to five pages of documentation. Mrs. Thomas advised that her request is very minor compared to a federal application. Mrs. Thomas cautioned that there are many levels to apply for federal awards. Mr. Chavez said that he is looking for a grant writer that can spend two hours with an NGO and lay out the grant process. Mr. Chavez explained that whether the NGO follows through at least the Parish funded to help them. Mr. Johnson said that governing bodies write for federal grants. Mr. Chavez said that he heard people complaining that there are not any grant writers. Mrs. Bryant mentioned Mrs. Thomas' idea to start the process with a how-to packet on grant writing. Mrs. Bryant explained the packet will guide and prepare an NGO to complete a federal grant. Mrs. Thomas said that the Parish could hire a qualified grant writer or company to create a federal how-to packet. Mrs. Thomas mentioned that the NGO could hire the grant writer, and if the NGO receives an award, the NGO could pay the grant writer directly. Mr. Johnson said that the Parish charges administrative fees. Mr. Atkins wanted to know the cost to hire a grant writer. Mr. Chavez suggested \$10,000 for professional services.

It was **moved by Mr. Chavez**, seconded by Mr. Jackson, *to set aside \$10,000 to direct Administration to create a grant writing "how-to" product.*

Mr. Chavez said that the "how-to" product would help organizations to apply for funding. Mr. Johnson said that if NGOs could fill out the Parish application, then the NGOs could complete a grant application with the correct buzzwords.

Mr. Jackson requested that the Community Foundations be included because the foundation has in-house grant writers. Mr. Jackson said that the Community Foundation may have a readily available packet and could go half on the cost for the grant writer. Mr. Johnson said that federal and state grants are easily given to a government body than an NGO because of a trust factor. Mr. Johnson explained that typically the governmental entity could apply for a grant, receive the award, and open applications for NGOs to apply for the grant award. Mr. Johnson said that an individual would not receive a federal grant when a state county is applying for the same grant. Mr. Chavez said that Administration could find grants

available to counties for the Parish to apply for. Mrs. Bryant advised that the Parish research grants often and that grants are not easy to receive these days. Mr. Johnson commented that the Committee is referring to grants that could benefit the citizens, not just the Parish departments.

Mr. Jackson wanted to know if the \$10,000 will be included in the budget. Mrs. Bryant responded that it will be included in the 2023 budget and that it is too late to be in the 2022 budget. Mr. Chavez said that his goal for this motion is to reduce appropriating funds to NGOs.

Amendment by Mr. Johnson, *that the Parish would pursue grants that would help the community.*

At this time, Mr. Chavez's motion carried as amended by acclamation, as shown by the following members in attendance: AYES: Commissioners Atkins, Burrell, Chavez, Jackson, and Johnson (5). NAYS: None (0). ABSENT: Commissioners Gage-Watts, Lazarus, and Young (3). ABSTAIN: None (0).

- *Review Caddo Parish ABO Licensing Process*

Mr. Chavez said that Attorney Bernstein has been working on the ABO Licensing process. Mr. Chavez advised Mr. Jackson that the Caddo Parish ABO laws are different from the City of Shreveport and that employees could serve alcohol in the city but not the parish. Mr. Chavez said that the Parish is working on an agreeable resolution. The Clerk advised that there are different entities that manage matters related to alcohol. The Clerk explained that he and Mrs. Valadanzouj are aware that there is no formal system between the different entities involved in alcohol-related matters. Mr. Chavez reiterated that the Parish is working on making the process similar with the City of Shreveport.

There being no more business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 3:35 p.m.


Administrative